Individual voxel-based analysis of gray matter in focal cortical dysplasia
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High-resolution MRI of the brain has made it possible to identify focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) in an increasing number of patients. There is evidence for structural abnormalities extending beyond the visually identified FCD lesion. Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) has the potential of detecting both lesions and extra-lesional abnormalities because it performs a whole brain voxel-wise comparison. However, on T1-weighted MRI, FCD lesions are characterized by a wide spectrum of signal hyperintensity that may compromise the results of the segmentation step in VBM. Our purpose was to investigate gray matter (GM) changes in individual FCD patients using voxel-based morphometry (VBM). In addition, we sought to assess the performance of this technique for FCD detection with respect to lesion intensity using an operator designed to emphasize areas of hyperintense T1 signal. We studied 27 patients with known FCD and focal epilepsy and 39 healthy controls. We compared the GM map of each subject (controls and patients) with the average GM map of all controls and obtained a GM z-score map for each individual. The protocol being designed to achieve a maximal specificity, no differences in GM concentration were found in the control group. The z-score maps showed an increase in GM that coincided with the lesion in 21/27 (78%) patients. Five of the six remaining patients whose lesions were not detected by VBM presented with a strong lesion hyperintensity, and a significant part of their lesion was misclassified as white matter. In 16/27 (59%) patients, there were additional areas of GM increase distant from the primary lesion. Areas of GM decrease were found in 8/27 (30%) patients. In conclusion, individual voxel-based analysis was able to detect FCD in a majority of patients. Moreover, FCD was often associated with widespread GM changes extending beyond the visible lesion. In its current form, however, individual VBM may be unable to detect lesions characterized by strong signal intensity abnormalities.
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Introduction

Malformations caused by abnormalities of cortical development (MCD) (Barkovich et al., 2001) have been increasingly recognized as an important cause of intractable focal epilepsy. Taylor-type focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) (Taylor et al., 1971), a pathology due to abnormal neuroglial proliferation, is the most frequent MCD in patients referred for presurgical evaluation (Frater et al., 2000; Sisodiya, 2000). The pathologic spectrum of FCD includes various degrees of cortical thickening, indistinctness (“blurring”) of the gray matter (GM)–white matter (WM) transition, abnormal sulcal pattern, abnormal neuroglial elements in the underlying WM, and disruption of the normal cortical lamination associated with an excess of large aberrant neurons (Prayson et al., 2002).

On T1-weighted MRI, FCD is mainly characterized by variable degrees of GM thickening, a poorly defined transition between GM and WM, and hyperintense signal within the dysplastic lesion relative to normal cortex (Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 1996; Lee et al., 1998). High-resolution MRI of the brain has made it possible to identify FCD in an increasing number of patients (Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 1996; Grant et al., 1998). However, in many patients, lesions of FCD are characterized by minor structural abnormalities that go unrecognized or are too subtle to be detected by standard radiological analysis.

In FCD, there is evidence for structural abnormalities extending beyond the visually identified lesion. Histological abnormalities may be disseminated rather than confined to a single patch or even be multifocal (Frater et al., 2000; Gomez-Anson et al., 2000; Prayson et al., 2002; Taylor et al., 1971). Electrophysiological studies in animal models of MCD (Jacobs et al., 1999; Kraemer et al., 2001; Redecker et al., 1998) and humans have also shown that the epileptogenic region frequently exceeds the boundaries of the obvious lesion (Palmini et al., 1995; Raymond and Fish, 1996; Tassi et al., 2001). The presence of these widespread structural abnormalities may be an important factor explaining the relatively poor outcome of surgery in MCD patients (Sisodiya, 2000) when compared to patients with other causes of epilepsy such as hippocampal sclerosis (Engel, 1996).
To date, only few studies have been dedicated to the automatic detection of FCD and to the evaluation of structural changes distant to the primary lesion using quantitative methods that can potentially capture structural changes too subtle to be detected by visual inspection (Sisodiya et al., 1995; Sisodiya and Free, 1997). We previously showed that computational models based on known MRI features of FCD and texture analysis improve the sensitivity of detection of FCD relative to the standard MRI evaluation (Antel et al., 2002, 2003; Bernasconi et al., 2001).

Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) is a fully automated technique allowing identification of regional differences in the amount of GM and WM in spatially standardized structural MR images, enabling an objective analysis between groups of subjects (Ashburner and Friston, 2000). While originally developed for group comparison, VBM has also been used to assess morphological changes in individual brains compared to a control group (Mehta et al., 2003; Mummery et al., 2000; Wilke et al., 2003; Woermann et al., 1999). As VBM involves a whole brain voxel-wise comparison, it has the potential of detecting both the FCD lesion and structural abnormalities that would extend beyond the lesion itself. However, accuracy and sensitivity of VBM analysis depend upon the quality of the underlying pre-processing steps, namely segmentation and spatial normalization. When dealing with brain pathologies, a major source of error could arise when abnormal voxel intensities are present (Mehta et al., 2003). In our previous studies, we developed a computational operator to model the hyperintense signal within the FCD lesion (Antel et al., 2002; Bernasconi et al., 2001) and proved that FCD lesions are characterized by a wide spectrum of hyperintense voxels with respect to normal cortex (Colliot et al., 2004). Thus, it is possible that FCD may be misclassified as WM instead of GM and therefore be undetected by VBM.

Our purpose was to investigate GM changes in individual FCD patients using VBM. In addition, we sought to assess the performance of this technique for FCD detection with respect to lesion intensity.

Methods

Subjects

We studied 27 adult patients (11 males, mean age ± [standard deviation (SD)] = 25 ± 7 years) who had been investigated at the Montreal Neurological Institute for partial epilepsy related to FCD and had high-resolution MRI, including curvilinear reformatting (Bastos et al., 1999). The FCD lesion was seen on MRI in all of them. Patients were compared to 39 sex- and age-matched healthy controls (18 males, mean age 29 ± 8). The Ethics Board of the Montreal Neurological Institute and Hospital approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Eighteen patients (18/27 = 67%) underwent surgery, and FCD was confirmed by histopathology in all of them. Mean post-operative follow-up was 4.7 years (median = 4.5 years). Ten patients (10/18 = 56%) became completely seizure-free after surgery (Engel’s classification Ia) (Engel et al., 1993), while eight others did not achieve complete seizure freedom (five had rare disabling seizures—Engel’s classification II, two had a worthwhile improvement—Engel’s classification III, and one had no worthwhile improvement—Engel’s classification IV). Three patients refused the surgical intervention, and another patient with normal neurological examination was not operated because the FCD lesion encroached the sensorimotor hand area. In five patients, seizures were controlled with antiepileptic medication.

The FCD lesions were located in the frontal lobe in 17/27 (63%) patients and in the parietal lobe in 10/27 (37%) patients.

MRI scanning

In all patients and healthy controls, MRI volumetric images were acquired on a 1.5 T Gyroscan (Philips Medical System, Best, The Netherlands) using a T1-fast field echo sequence (TR = 18, TE = 10, 1 acquisition average pulse sequence, flip angle = 30°, matrix size = 256 × 256, FOV = 256, thickness = 1 mm).

Image processing

Voxel-wise processing of the MRI included the following steps (Fig. 1). Images were automatically registered into a standard stereotactic space to adjust for differences in total brain volume and brain orientation (Collins et al., 1994). Each image underwent automated correction for intensity non-uniformity and intensity standardization (Sled et al., 1998). This correction produces consistent relative gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebro-spinal fluid (CSF) intensities. Classification of brain tissue in GM, WM, and CSF was performed using the INSECT algorithm (Zijdenbos et al., 1998), which relies on an artificial neural network classifier. GM masks were then blurred with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 12 mm FWHM to generate 3D maps of GM “concentration”. The validity of a 12-mm smoothing kernel in unbalanced designs has been shown previously (Salmond et al., 2002). All GM concentration maps from the control group were then averaged to produce mean and standard deviation (SD) maps.

We compared the GM concentration map of each subject (controls and patients) with the average GM map of all controls (Fig. 1A) and obtained a GM z-score map for each individual (Fig. 1B).

Assessment of FCD hyperintensity

To assess whether the hyperintensity of FCD lesions (i.e., the fact that they are characterized by higher signal intensity than the normal cortex) has a significant impact on the automatic tissue segmentation and on the results of individual voxel-based analysis, we used our previously developed model of relative intensity (Bernasconi et al., 2001). Relative intensity is defined for a particular voxel as 100 × (Bg − g(i, j, k)) / Bg, where Bg is the intensity at the boundary between GM and WM, and g(i, j, k) represents the intensity at a given voxel (Fig. 2A). Using the relative difference enables the analysis of voxels located within cortical GM in which the intensity is higher than normal and may even overlap that of the WM.

The primary FCD lesions were segmented manually on the high-resolution T1-weighted MRI by a trained rater (V.N.). The proportion of FCD lesion label classified as GM and WM was calculated. We then computed the mean relative intensity within the segmented FCD (Fig. 2).

Analysis

To detect significant differences in GM concentration, a voxel was considered abnormal if its value fell outside the mean of the
controls ± 4.5 SD. This threshold was chosen to exceed the maximum GM increase or decrease seen in any control subject.

The voxel-based analysis was considered to successfully detect the FCD lesion when a GM increase greater than 4.5 was present at the lesion location. To quantify the fraction of the lesion correctly identified by the method, we computed a lesion coverage ratio, which is equal to the percentage of voxels in the lesion label having a GM $z$-score greater than 4.5. Association between the ratio of lesion coverage and the size of the FCD lesion, as determined by manual segmentation, was assessed using Pearson correlation coefficient ($r$).

To assess the influence of the chosen threshold (4.5 SD) on the detection results, we computed the sensitivity [percentage of positives correctly identified = true-positives / (true-positives + false-negatives)] and specificity [percentage of successfully correctly identified = true-negative / (true-negatives + false-positives)] for different threshold values (i.e., 5 SD, 4.5 SD, 4 SD, 3.5 SD, 3 SD, 2.5 SD, 2 SD). True positives are patients that had an increase in GM concentration higher than the threshold and coinciding with the lesion. False positives are healthy controls that had a GM increase larger than the threshold.

To assess the influence of the size of the healthy control group on the results, we randomly sub-sampled the control population into two groups of 20 and 25 subjects.

We considered as extra-lesional GM increase any GM increase greater than our 4.5 threshold and not coinciding with the lesion. Conversely, we considered as GM decreases regions of GM decrease smaller than −4.5 SD that did not coincide with the lesion.

We assessed using $\chi^2$ test whether the presence of extra-lesional GM increases as well as extra-lesional GM decreases differed between patients who became seizure-free after surgery compared to those who did not.

**Results**

**Lesional GM increase**

The $z$-score maps showed an increase in GM that coincided with the primary FCD lesion in 21/27 (78%) patients. Examples of individual patients are presented in Fig. 3. In 6/27 (22%) patients, no GM increase was found at the FCD location.

FCD lesions volumes ranged from 773 mm$^3$ to 88,726 mm$^3$ (mean = 10,803 mm$^3$ ± 16,037). For the 21 patients in whom the lesion was detected, the mean lesion coverage ratio was 21% (SD = 17%, range = 0.1–63%). There was no correlation between FCD volume and the coverage ratio. Results of FCD lesion detection corresponding to various coverage ratios are presented in Fig. 3.

The results for sensitivity and specificity obtained for different threshold values are presented in Fig. 4.
Using a randomly sub-sampled group of 20 controls and our chosen threshold of 4.5 SD, 22/27 (81%) lesions were detected and no GM increase above 4.5 was found in the controls. When using 25 controls and the same threshold, 21/27 (78%) lesions were detected and no increase was found in the controls.
Sixteen (16/27 = 59%) patients had extra-lesional areas of GM increase. This was the case for 13/21 (62%) of those who presented a GM increase at the location of the lesion and for three (3/6 = 50%) of those whose lesion was not detected by VBM. For the 16 patients with extra-lesional GM increase, the mean number of areas of increase was 2 (range = 1–4). There was no difference in extra-lesional GM increases between patients who became seizure-free after surgery and those who did not (3/10 = 30% vs. 4/8 = 50% \( \chi^2 = 0.75, df = 1, P = 0.38 \)).

Four (4/16 = 25%) patients had extra-lesional GM increases in the same hemisphere as the lesion; four (4/16 = 25%) had GM increases in the hemisphere contralateral to the FCD lesion; and eight (8/16 = 50%) had bilateral GM increases. The areas of GM increase were predominantly found in the frontal lobe (10 patients). Other locations included temporal (5), occipital (5), and parietal (3) lobes and the insula (3). Examples of FCD patients with extra-lesional GM increases are presented in Fig. 5.

**Extra-lesional GM decrease**

Areas of GM decrease were found in eight (8/27 = 30%) patients. There was no difference in extra-lesional GM decrease between patients who became seizure-free after surgery and those who did not (3/10 = 30% vs. 4/8 = 50% \( \chi^2 = 0.75, df = 1, P = 0.38 \)).

Five patients (5/8 = 63%) had GM decrease in the same hemisphere as the FCD lesion; one patient (1/8 = 13%) had GM decrease in the hemisphere contralateral to the lesion; and two (2/8 = 25%) had bilateral GM decrease. The majority of patients (6/8 = 75%) had GM decrease in the temporal lobe neocortex. Other areas included frontal (3), occipital (2), and the insula (2). Examples of patients with extra-lesional GM decrease are presented in Fig. 6.

**Performance of VBM with respect to FCD hyperintensity**

Relative intensity values for FCD patients are presented in Table 1. The relative intensity computed over the whole lesion label ranged between 72 and 93 in our patients with a mean of 85 ± 4.6 (arbitrary units).

In 5/6 patients in whom VBM was unable to identify the primary lesion, the FCD was strongly hyperintense (relative intensity values ranging from 87 to 93). In fact, four of them presented with the four highest hyperintensity values in the patient
group. These five patients also had a lower portion of the lesion classified as GM (from 37% to 80%). Representative examples are presented in Fig. 7.

**Discussion**

Using individual voxel-based analysis, we were able to reliably and automatically detect the FCD lesion in more than three-quarter of our patients.

**Comparison with previous quantitative methods**

Our VBM approach provided average lesion coverage of 21% compared to expert tracing. This was slightly higher than that of our previously implemented automatic classifier based on computational models of FCD and texture analysis, which attained 13% lesion coverage (Antel et al., 2003). The sensitivity of the individual VBM analysis presented here was 78%. In a previous work based on individual VBM analysis of FCD lesions, the sensitivity for lesion detection was similar to our results (Wilke et al., 2003). In that study, the authors chose an arbitrary threshold of 2 SD above the mean GM concentration in normal controls as indicative of abnormality. Therefore, they did not fully evaluate the specificity of their methodology. Indeed, at a threshold of 2 SD, we found numerous areas of false positives in our control population. To detect reliably abnormal GM, we selected a higher threshold so that no areas of GM changes (increase or decrease) could be found in any of our healthy control subjects. Our protocol was thus designed to limit as much as possible the detection of false positives, particularly in patients who undergo presurgical evaluation for medically refractory seizures.

**Statistical threshold**

Choosing a threshold value of 4.5 that exceeded the maximum GM change in controls allows taking multiple comparisons into account in an empirical manner. Indeed, the number of voxels, and thus the number of comparisons, is the same when analyzing GM maps in individual patients and controls. Thus, the proportion of voxels that will be found abnormal by chance is also the same in patients and controls. Since no false positives are found in controls at this threshold, the probability of finding a false positive in the patients is very low. Our threshold selection, therefore, constitutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean and standard deviation of FCD relative intensity, percent classified as GM, lesion volume, and maximum increase in GM concentration that coincided with the lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients whose lesion was not detected by VBM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values are presented for all FCD patients. Individual values are presented for those six whose lesions were not identified using VBM.
a significant improvement over existing individual VBM analyses that use a 2 SD threshold and do not take into account multiple comparisons (Wilke et al., 2003).

A threshold of 4.5 SD was the minimal value to avoid false positives in healthy controls. A slightly lower value of 4 SD would allow the detection of one additional lesion while decreasing the specificity from 100% to 90%. Importantly, both thresholds lead to high sensitivity and specificity. Thus, in our opinion, both thresholds of 4 SD and 4.5 SD are equally acceptable when using individual VBM for FCD detection in a clinical context. On the contrary, with lower threshold values, the number of false positives would increase rapidly as shown in Fig. 4. In a clinical setting, it is conceivable to explore different thresholds below 4.0 SD, provided that the output of VBM converges with the information given by independent examinations such as EEG, clinical semiology, and other imaging methods.

Influence of the control group

The choice of the healthy control sample did not have a significant impact on the results. The only difference was that, using 20 controls, one additional lesion could be detected at a threshold of 4.5 SD. However, in spite of this, it is preferable to use a larger group of healthy controls to better cover the spectrum of normal anatomical variability.

Performance of VBM with respect to FCD hyperintensity

Our VBM method failed to detect the FCD lesion in six patients. With a threshold of 4 SD, one of these six lesions would be detected by VBM. To identify additional lesions, the threshold should be lowered to at least 3 SD, leading to a specificity of only 31%.

Even though there was no significant correlation between lesion size and coverage, we failed to detect the two FCD lesions with the smallest volume in the group. It is likely that a very small lesion will correspond to a small focal area of GM increase, which may not exceed the order of magnitude of the normal variability of GM “concentration” in that region. The second plausible factor that can explain the ineffectiveness of VBM is FCD hyperintensity. In five of the undetected FCDs, a relatively small portion of the lesion was classified as GM by the automated segmentation algorithm, even though three of these lesions had a medium to large size compared to the others. In all five cases, the FCD lesion was strongly hyperintense relative to the rest of the neocortex. Therefore, a large proportion of lesional voxels was misclassified as WM. These findings emphasize the need for caution when using VBM alone to detect pathologies characterized by intensity abnormalities. They also demonstrate that VBM and our computational model of FCD hyperintensity convey complementary information.
Alternative and complementary approaches

Image analysis approaches other than VBM have the potential to detect lesions by modeling the abnormal characteristics of FCD. We previously developed a classifier that integrates computational models of the main FCD features, such as focal thickening of the cortex computed directly in the 3D image space (Antel et al., 2003). Other approaches relying on surface-based analysis of cortical thickness (Lerch et al., 2005; Sailer et al., 2003) would allow a more direct comparison of cortical areas between subjects. By providing an evaluation of cortical thickness compared to similar regions in healthy controls, these methods could improve FCD detection. Furthermore, automatic methods for sulci extraction and analysis have been recently developed (Riviere et al., 2002). These techniques have the potential to identify abnormal sulcal patterns that may also be associated with FCD (Usui et al., 2001a; Yagishita et al., 1997). Overall, these advanced techniques could be used in conjunction with our individual VBM approach. It is however important to keep in mind that GM/WM segmentation constitutes a crucial common preprocessing step in all these approaches. It can thus be expected that signal hyperintensity associated with FCD might still compromise the end results, similarly to what was demonstrated here. To this purpose, future efforts should be dedicated to the development of segmentation algorithms that take into account FCD hyperintensity, possibly relying on region-growing techniques (Mangin et al., 1995) and computational models of hyperintensity (Bernasconi et al., 2001).

In our experience, T1-weighted 3D gradient-echo technique with a voxel size of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm$^3$ on a 1.5 T scanner provides good anatomic details for digital image processing. High-field magnets are increasingly available in research and clinical facilities. Higher magnetic field strength provides high signal-to-noise ratio due to higher signal intensity, which allows for increased spatial resolution or faster imaging. However, other unwanted effects such as the sensitivity to magnetic field inhomogeneities and changes in relaxation times increase with higher field strength, which lead to changes in image contrast. High-field imaging has the potential to improve lesion detection, provided that appropriate intensity correction methods become available.

Extra-lesional GM changes in FCD

Focal areas of extra-lesional GM decrease were found in approximately one third of the patients, the majority of them being located within the hemisphere ipsilateral to the primary FCD lesion and in the temporal lobe neocortex. Similar findings were reported in a previous study of 10 patients with various MCD, mostly heterotopias (Woermann et al., 1999). Although the exact nature of GM decrease remains unclear, its location in the temporal lobe ipsilateral to the primary FCD lesion that was frontal in most patients could indicate GM atrophy secondary to alteration of fronto-temporal neuronal circuits. This hypothesis is supported by previous observations showing decreased metabolic function in the temporal lobe of patients with extra-temporal lesions, including FCD (Miller et al., 2000).

Fifty-nine percent of our patients exhibited extra-lesional areas of GM increase, which were present in equal proportions in the hemisphere ipsi- and contralateral to the FCD lesion. Areas of GM increase were located mainly within the frontal lobe. Interestingly, the majority of FCD lesions were also located in the frontal lobe. This might indicate that a similar developmental process is the source of both primary FCD lesions and extra-lesional GM increase. Retrospective visual analysis of the areas of GM increase in individual z-score maps and on conventional MRI of these patients was not suggestive of FCD pathology. Moreover, scalp EEG data from these regions did not exhibit any epileptic abnormality nor patterns found to be associated with FCD such as polyspike activity (Gambardella et al., 1996). These findings are in agreement with our previous work on automatic classification of FCD, where we found extra-lesional clusters exhibiting a pattern of features similar to FCD lesions in one third of patients (Antel et al., 2003). The absence of any false positives in our control subjects combined with pathological (Prayson et al., 2002; Taylor et al., 1971) and MRI reports (Sisodiya, 2000, 2004; Sisodiya and Free, 1997; Sisodiya et al., 1995) of diffuse cortical involvement in FCD suggests that these clusters may indeed indicate abnormal regions that are otherwise undetectable via conventional means.

Areas of GM increase detected by VBM in FCD patients could represent abnormalities of gyration rather than true increase in GM or cortical thickening. On visual analysis of conventional MRI, sulcal and gyral abnormalities are sometimes noted in patients with MCD. These abnormalities are thought to represent an underlying pathological cortical cytoarchitecture (Barth, 1987; Rakic, 1988) and have been described in the proximity of the MRI visible lesion, but also distant from it. Sulcal and gyral abnormalities are characterized by a large spectrum of changes ranging from clefs of various depth (Bronen et al., 2000) to minor changes such as slight shape asymmetry, broad gyri, shallow or deep sulci, altered gyral or sulcal orientation or location (displacement) (Muntaner et al., 1997; Raymond et al., 1995; Usui et al., 2001b; Yagishita et al., 1997). The fact that sulcal and gyral abnormalities have been rarely reported is not surprising since the cerebral cortex is a convoluted 3D structure, and abnormalities in its surface gyration may not be appreciated when it is viewed on 2D MRI slices. Our VBM procedure involved a linear spatial normalization of images and thus did not attempt to match exactly every gyrus in the brain. Therefore, an abnormality of gyral pattern such as deeper gyri would appear on the VBM maps as an increase in GM. Ultimately, correlative pathological studies would be required to shed more light on the nature of extra-lesional GM abnormalities in FCD.

Clinical considerations

Previous studies have suggested that a less favorable post-surgical outcome in MCD patients might be linked to the presence of extra-lesional pathology (Sisodiya, 2000). Although there have been numerous studies on post-surgical outcome in MCD (Cohen-Gadol et al., 2004; Colombo et al., 2003; Fauser et al., 2004; Francione et al., 2003; Frater et al., 2000; Kral et al., 2003; Prayson and Frater, 2003; Russo et al., 2003; Sisodiya, 2000; Tassi et al., 2002), none of them included a detailed MRI assessment of extra-lesional brain. Therefore, the above hypothesis has not yet been tested adequately. In our study, we found no influence of extra-lesional GM increase on surgical outcome. However, these findings need to be confirmed in a larger population of surgically treated FCD patients.

In conclusion, using individual voxel-based analysis of GM, we identified the primary FCD lesion in the majority of our patients. We also showed that brain pathology in FCD extends beyond the visible lesion and is associated with widespread GM changes. While VBM has the potential to detect the increase in GM
associated with FCD, lesions presenting with signal intensity different from normal GM might be misclassified as WM and thus not be detected. It can be expected that the combination of VBM with other computational models of FCD could enhance both sensitivity and lesion coverage.
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